BENNETT JONES

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 0 6 2005

FAX MESSAGE

USPTO - General Fax Number 1213 Jefferson Davis Highway 3rd Floor Arlington, VA 22202 USA Bennett Jones LLP 4500 Bankers Hall East 855 - 2nd Street S.W. Calgary Alberta T2P 4K7

> Tel 403.298.3051 Fax 403.265.7219

FAX NO. 1 (571) 273-8300 FROM Susan L. Rancourt, Ph.D., LL.B.

PHONE NO. LAWYER NO 864 FILE NO 50709-4

DATE September 6, 2005 Original Status - Retained on File

This is the first page of 5

If all pages not received, call 403.298.3234 for assistance.

This facsimile was successfully transmitted at:

MESSAGE

(Type message here)

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE. THANK YOU.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 0 6 2005

Docket 50709-4

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re:

Applicant:

SEMENIUK, Lisa

Group Art Unit: 3743

Serial No.:

10/707,210

Examiner: Nihir Patel

Filed:

November 26, 2003

For:

"METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PREVENTING EAR ABRASION"

Honourable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks,
Washington, D.C. 20231,
U.S.A.

Sir:

RESPONSE

In response to the office action dated June 7, 2005, the Applicant wishes to submit remarks.

REMARKS

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. 102

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-6, 10-15, 19-24, 28 and 30 under 35 U.S.C. 102 as being anticipated by Norfleet (U.S. patent no. 6,418,929). The Examiner reaches this conclusion by combining Figures 1 and 2 with that shown in Figures 3 and 4. Figures 1 and 2 are clearly <u>labelled as prior art</u> to Norfleet, whereas Figures 3 and 4 are embodiments if the invention of Norfleet.

It is improper for the Examiner to <u>combine</u> the invention disclosed in Norfleet with prior art, to reject the claims under 35 USC 102. Further, Norfleet does not teach, in any Figure, or in the accompanying text alone or combined with the Figures, <u>one</u> strap that has <u>all</u> of the features of the strap of claims 1-6, 10-15, 19-24, 28 and 30 of the present application.